

Feedback Summary

Course Evaluation Type: Final Evaluation	Faculty Name: BANSAL,SORAV	Print
Course ID: CSL 862	Total students in the course: 30	
Course Component: Lecture	Total students participated in feedback: 24	
Semester: I Semester 2013-14	Faculty Feedback Rating: 4.35	

Objective Questions Summary

Section: Course Organization & Delivery

Question No: 1

Description: How clearly was the course plan presented? **Rating:** 4.38

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	3
4	4
5-Excellent	9
No Opinion	8

Question No: 2

Description: Did the course content met course objectives adequately? **Rating:** 4.41

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	3
4	4
5-Excellent	10
No Opinion	7

Question No: 3

Description: How were the lectures organized in terms of clarity and presentation of concepts? **Rating:** 4.59

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	1
4	5
5-Excellent	11
No Opinion	7

Question No: 4

Description: How effectively could the teacher communicate? **Rating:** 4.35

Rating / Response	Student Count
1-Poor	0
2	1
3	2
4	4
5-Excellent	10
No Opinion	7

Question No: 5

Description: How receptive was the teacher to questions inside and outside the classroom? **Rating:** 4.65

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	2
4	2
5-Excellent	13
No Opinion	7

Question No: 6

Description: How much did the teacher encourage independent thinking? **Rating:** 4.53

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
1-Poor	0
2	1
3	1
4	3
5-Excellent	12
No Opinion	7

Question No: 7

Description: How much did the teacher encourage logical thinking? **Rating:** 4.59

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	2
4	3
5-Excellent	12
No Opinion	7

Section: Learning

Question No: 1

Description: How much did the course help you learn the subject? **Rating:** 4.20

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
1-Poor	0
2	0
3	2
4	8
5-Excellent	5
No Opinion	9

Question No: 2

Description: How effective was the teacher(s) in keeping your interest alive in the course? **Rating:** 3.94

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
1-Poor	1
2	0
3	5
4	3
5-Excellent	7
No Opinion	8

Question No: 3**Description:** To what extent did the teacher(s) inspire you to pursue the subject area further? **Rating:** 3.88

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
1-Poor	1
2	0
3	4
4	6
5-Excellent	5
No Opinion	8

Section: Exams & Evaluation**Question No:** 1**Description:** Did the examinations test understanding of the course?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
No	1
No Opinion	7
Yes	16

Question No: 2**Description:** Were the question papers of an appropriate length?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
No	1
No Opinion	9
Yes	14

Question No: 3**Description:** Was the evaluation prompt?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
No	2
No Opinion	7
Yes	15

Question No: 4**Description:** Were the evaluated answer scripts shown to you?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
No	0
No Opinion	7
Yes	17

Question No: 5**Description:** Did the evaluation help you to understand your mistakes?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
No	1
No Opinion	8
Yes	15

Section: General Questions

Question No: 1**Description:** How would you rate the work-load of this course?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
Just Right	10
No Opinion	7
Too Heavy	7
Very Little	0

Question No: 2**Description:** Were the lectures held regularly?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
No	1
No Opinion	7
Yes	16

Question No: 3**Description:** Were the lectures held on time?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
No	1
No Opinion	9
Yes	14

Question No: 4**Description:** Was the course evaluation policy announced at the beginning of the semester?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
No	0
No Opinion	9
Yes	15

Question No: 5**Description:** Was the attendance policy announced at the beginning of the semester?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
No	0
No Opinion	10
Yes	14

Question No: 6**Description:** Did the teacher take note of the mid-semester feedback?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
No	0
No Opinion	17
Yes	7

Question No: 7**Description:** Was there a significant variation among the different lecture sections?

<u>Rating / Response</u>	<u>Student Count</u>
No	1
No Opinion	17
Yes	6

Section: General Comments**Question No:** 1**Description:** Would you consider this course as one of the five best-taught courses you have done so far?

Rating / Response	Student Count
No	3
No Opinion	13
Yes	8

Subjective Questions Summary**Section:** General Comments**Question No:** 1**Description:** What did you like the most about this course?

Feedback
1 -
2 nothing special
3 The approach and content.
4 The research papers.
5 I think the course was great in terms of presentation and the clarity of the concept.
6 The assignment - Chess.
7 Compiler portion
8 depth of course
9 Interactive & motivational

Section: General Comments**Question No:** 2**Description:** What did you dislike the most about this course?

Feedback
1 -
2 Unclear discussions.
3 There were too too many reasearh papers, keeping in mind that it was just a 3 credit course, and not 4 creadit.
4 Micro kernel portion
5 TA help
6 Nothing

Section: General Comments**Question No:** 3**Description:** Any Suggestions or comments about the course

Feedback
1 -
2 It was an amazing course but if the papers had been discussed in a more effective way, then it would have been awesome.
3 Effective ways could be - Discussing the ideas using presentations. The presentations could be

prepared by either students or professor or even collected from the web as most of the authors shared their presentations too.

3 No.

4 There should be a 15 min. discussion about the next paper that was going to be discussed in the next class and on what parts of the paper should the students focus more.

5 Add more homework

6 it would be good if sir provide TA help for completing assignment work

7 Good